From: Stuart Niven To: **David Moehring** 

Cc: O"Brien, Mike; PRC; Pacheco, Abel; neighborhoodtreekeepers@gmail.com; seattle-tree-ordinance-working-

grouplists.riseup.net; treepac\_seattle@lists.riseup.net; Carolyn Rodenberg; Lynn Fitz-Hugh; Jessica Dixon-Horton; Anne Siems; Jan Katzenberger; Kaplan, Martin; sabrosio@comcast.net; ediebirk@gmail.com; carriefrankenburg@gmail.com; chuckaross@gmail.com; BetsyLRoss@gmail.com; sarahconeill@gmail.com;

Dihong Shao; sdeforest@foxrothschild.com; llsnider2000@yahoo.com; Kim@kim-mulligan.com; mblums@gmail.com; judi@writeguru.com; astanko@comcast.net; carldahlman1@comcast.net;

brgovmail@rulifson.com; patti.loesche@gmail.com; bspinazze@sbcglobal.net; "Barbara Bernard" via Magnolia Tree Keepers - All messages; Pinto de Bader, Sandra; Durkan, Jenny; LEG CouncilMembers; Bagshaw, Sally; Harrell, Bruce; Gonzalez, Lorena; Herbold, Lisa; Juarez, Debora; Mosqueda, Teresa; Sawant, Kshama; Holmes,

Peter; Emery, Chanda; Pederson, Art; SCI Code Compliance; Humphries, Paul

Subject: Re: Oct 1 massive tree removed before DADU application 3 days later

Date: Monday, October 28, 2019 2:47:28 PM

## **CAUTION: External Email**

I second David's comments and remain shocked at the number of 'exceptional' trees being removed illegally and without punishment, as well as how many trees are permitted for removal without question simply to allow developers to raze properties without having to create tree protection zones, or consider existing trees in their plans.

Trees do not need humans but humans need trees. No trees; humans die. It is that simple.

Since SDCI is managed by complaints, I submitted a complaint about the removal of the exceptional tree which is visible in David's attached photographs, and on Google Maps street view. It was a large native Western red cedar which was a valuable asset to the neighbourhood and it is now gone, forever. Seemingly the inspector who looked at the property could not see the tree. SDCI really needs to improve the way it looks at plans for development so that all sites are checked for trees or evidence of tree removals related to the development so that property owners can be punished for removing trees and encouraged to retain trees as per SMC 25.11 and DR16-2008.

Please hire more arborists that know what trees are and how important they are, so that arborists look at plans involving trees and can work with developers to protect trees rather than having reviewers who know nothing about trees rubber stamp every plan without regard for the trees on a site. The current management of development in Seattle is not sustainable.

Since SDCI is funded by fees for permits; may I suggest attaching a considerable fee for the removal of trees, so much so that developers will want to remove fewer or those who can afford to remove them, are at least paying back into the department so these fees can pay for more arborists and a better system of tree protection?!

Thank you and kind regards,

Stuart Niven, BA(Hons)

PanorArborist 1 6 1

ISA Certified Arborist PN-7245A & Tree Risk Assessment Qualification (TRAQ)

Arborist on Seattle's Urban Forestry Commission

www.panorarbor.com Tel/Text: 206 501 9659

WA Lic# PANORL\*852P1

On Sun, Oct 20, 2019 at 8:45 AM David Moehring < dmoehring@consultant.com> wrote:

Yet another example that Seattle residents have been deceived and why a stronger tree ordinance and stronger enforcement are long overdue:

536 N 67TH ST

On Oct 1st 2019, TreePAC reported a massive tree in the process of being removed without a permit or any sign of a development. No reaction from the city except 'not my problem'.

Surprise, what shows up at the Department of Construction and Inspections just 3 days later after the tree chopping on October 4th is an DADU application along with several parking spaces being including within the area where the once massive environmental workhorse stood. In fact, the Department's recent EDMS record now clearly shows the stump of the removed casualty.

Primary Applicant: Akasha Whoolery has done many of these projects in the city of Seattle. Is this okay for our City stewards to look the other way? How will this be remedied for urban heat island, carbon sequestration, and natural habitats/ pathways?

This is an embarrassment.

David Moehring TreePAC Board member

Per <a href="http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/">http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/</a>

Check out the Record 005294-19PA:

Use Pre-Application	t 136 KB 10/1	7/19 005294-19Pa	A Building & Land
Site Photos 36 MB 10/11/1	9 005294-1	9PA Building & La	nd Use Pre-Application
PASV Authorization Letter Use Pre-Application	18 KB 10/08/	19 005294-19PA	Building & Land
PASV Authorization Letter Use Pre-Application	18 KB 10/08/	19 005294-19PA	Building & Land
PASV Authorization Letter Use Pre-Application	18 KB 10/08/	19 005294-19PA	Building & Land

Site Plan 105 KB 10/04/19